

AppIn No. 09/693,515
Amdt. Dated February 22, 2005
Response to Office Action of January 6, 2005

17

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action has been carefully considered. The issues raised are traversed and addressed below with reference to the relevant headings and paragraph numbers appearing under the Detailed Action of the Office Action.

Claim Rejections – 35USC § 103

In this section, the Examiner has maintained independent claims 4 and 26 are obvious in light of Tabata et al and Kasabach et al.

In view of this, we have amended both claims 4 and 26 to specify that the sensing device senses "*movement relative to the form using at least some of the coded data*", such as to conform with the allowable subject matter indicated by the Examiner in regard to independent claim 1.

Therefore, we respectfully submit that as cited documents fail to teach or suggest the sensing device sensing its movement relative to the form using at least some of the coded data, as indicated by the Examiner, all claims are novel and inventive.

Appln No. 09/693,515
Amdt. Dated February 22, 2005
Response to Office Action of January 6, 2005

18

CONCLUSION

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the objections and claim rejections have been successfully traversed and addressed. The amendments do not involve adding any information that was not already disclosed in the specification, and therefore no new matter is added. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the claims 1 to 24 and 26 to 37, and the application as a whole with these claims, are allowable, and a favourable reconsideration is therefore earnestly solicited.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



KIA SILVERBROOK

Applicant:



PAUL LAPSTUN

Applicant:



JACQUELINE ANNE LAPSTUN

C/o:

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email:

kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone:

+612 9818 6633

Facsimile:

+61 2 9555 7762